



Setting the Standards for  
Home Energy Efficiency

## Results of RESNET Board Ballot on Interpretation on Quality Assurance Oversight Responsibilities When a Rater Changes Providers

December 18, 2013

***Shall the RESNET Board adopt the RESNET Quality Assurance Committee's draft interpretation on quality assurance oversight responsibilities when a rater changes providers (Attachment A)?***

Yes (18)

No (0)

Abstain (1)

Not Voting (1)

Ben Adams  
Jacob Atalla  
David Beam  
Dave Bell  
Steve Byers  
Dennis Creech  
Brett Dillon  
Philip Fairey  
David Goldstein  
Andy Gordon  
Roy Honican  
Mark Jansen  
Lee O'Neal  
Jim Petersen  
Nancy St. Hilaire  
Dennis Stroer  
Joseph Triolo  
Barb Yankie

Greg Thomas

Paul Stalknecht

The interpretation was adopted.

# Attachment A

## RESNET Formal Interpretation 2013-02

Approved by the RESNET Board of Directors, 12/16/2013

### **Proponent:**

Quality Assurance Committee

### **Applies to:**

Mortgage Industry National Home Energy Rating Systems Standards (2013)

Sections 904.4 and 912

### **1. Quality Assurance responsibilities when a Rater moves from one Active Provider (“Original Provider”) to another (“New Provider”):**

- Each Provider is responsible for completing QA to RESNET required levels for all rating work completed by the Rater under their Providership (i.e. 1% field and 10% file review).
- Rater is obligated to support the QA activities of the Original Active Provider to meet the required level of QA for the ratings performed under the Original Active Provider.
- With consent of Original Active Provider, Rater may negotiate with New Provider for completion of QA on Rater’s previously completed ratings. If consent is given, Original Active Provider shall provide all necessary information for New Provider to complete QA in accordance with RESNET standards.
- In the event that the Original Active Provider is unable to complete the required QA on ratings performed under their Providership, Rater must negotiate with New Provider to perform QA to meet the 1% field and 10% file review minimum requirements. The reason for the Original Active Provider’s inability to complete QA must be documented and recorded in the Rater Registry.
- Rater shall be placed on Probation with the New Provider until all outstanding QA obligations have been met as described above.
- New Provider is responsible for Quality Assurance, Rater Discipline, and Registry Maintenance for any ratings for which it is assuming QA responsibility under the provisions above, in addition to all Ratings completed by Rater under its Providership.